

ENTERED

March 19, 2019

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ELSA MARTINEZ DE GARZA,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:18-CV-134
	§
SOCIAL SECURITY	§
ADMINISTRATION,	§
	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

On February 28, 2019, United States Magistrate Judge B. Janice Ellington issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation” (D.E. 5), recommending that Plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed for want of prosecution. Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002–13. No objections have been filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 5), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court ADOPTS as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for want of prosecution.

ORDERED this 19th day of March, 2019.


Neila Gonzales Ramos
NEILA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE